

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/473,003	12/28/1999	MAQBOOLAHMED S. PATEL	15-IS-5283	9475
7	7590 10/29/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN F NETHERY			KIM, CHONG R	
	S HELD & MALLOY ADISON STREET 34TI		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2623	
CHICAGO, IL				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
	09/473,003	PATEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Charles Kim	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOIs, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u>ugust 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	l.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 1999 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			_
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		n received in this National Stage	e
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	-\ ["] \	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 16, 2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendment and Arguments

- 2. Applicant's amendment filed on August 16, 2004 has been entered and made of record.
- 3. In view of applicant's amendment, the 112 first paragraph rejections are withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but they are not deemed to be persuasive for at least the following reasons.

Applicants argue (page 17) that their claimed invention (claims 1, 11, 21) differs from the prior art because "Huang therefore cannot and does not teach any system or method whereby raw image data is received by an acquisition computer, the acquisition computer applies a subset of preprocessing functions to the raw image data, and a workstation then processes the same image data by applying a different preprocessing function to the image data". The Examiner disagrees. Huang discloses an acquisition computer (figure 8.14) that receives raw image data and applies a subset of preprocessing functions such as reformatting, background removal, and orientation correction (pages 225-226, section 8.8.2 and figure 8.14). Huang also explains that the PACS

Art Unit: 2623

workstation processes the same image data by applying a different preprocessing function to the image data such as a LUT (8.7.1.4). Accordingly, it appears that Huang is still applicable to claims 1, 11, and 21 as amended.

Claim Objections

The following quotation of 37 CFR § 1.75(a) is the basis of objection:

- (a) The specification must conclude with a claim particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.
- 5. Claims 1, 11, 21 are objected to under 37 CFR § 1.75 (a) and (d)(1) as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.

Referring to claim 1, the multiple occurrence of the phrase "raw image data, which has not been fully preprocessed according to a predetermined subset of preprocessing functions applied to the raw image data at an acquisition workstation" in lines 4-6, 9-11, and 16-18 renders the claim ambiguous. The Examiner notes that any image that has not been processed at an acquisition workstation would read on this limitation. It appears that the applicant intended the phrase to read "raw image data, which has been partially preprocessed according to a predetermined subset of preprocessing functions applied to the raw image data at an acquisition workstation". Similar objections are applicable to claims 11 and 21. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2623

6. Claim 17 is objected to because of typographical errors. It appears that the applicant intended the phrase "at leas tone" in line 2 to read "at least one". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 8-9, 11, 12, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the textbook entitled "PACS Basic Principles and Applications" by Huang ("Huang").

Referring to claim 1 as best understood, Huang discloses a method of processing raw image data at a PACS display workstation, the method comprising:

a. retrieving from a PACS database, using a PACS workstation, raw image data delivered from an imaging modality, which has not been fully preprocessed according to a predetermined subset of preprocessing functions applied to the raw image data at an acquisition workstation [pages 177-179 and figure 8.14 on page 225. Note that the images from the imaging modality are sent to the PACS acquisition gateway for partial preprocessing, wherein the acquisition gateway applies a subset of preprocessing functions such as reformatting, background removal, and orientation correction, (page 225, section 8.8.2 and figure 8.14). The raw (partially preprocessed) images are then sent to the PACS database (controller), which "services archive retrieval requests from workstations" (TABLE 7.1). The Examiner notes that the raw image data

Art Unit: 2623

is considered as not haven been fully preprocessed according to a predetermined set of preprocessing functions, since it is only partially preprocessed (as noted above), and is sent to the workstation for further preprocessing, see below]

- b. selecting from a PACS database, using the PACS workstation, a first preprocessing function for the raw image data, which has not been fully preprocessed according to the predetermined subset of preprocessing functions applied at the acquisition workstation, delivered from the imaging modality, wherein the first preprocessing function is stored in the PACS database, the first preprocessing function differing from the predetermined subset of preprocessing functions [section 8.7.1.4 on pages 222-223. Huang explains that the PACS acquisition gateway generates brightness and contrast parameters to form a lookup table (first preprocessing function) which is inserted into the image header and sent to the PACS database, allowing the workstations to retrieve the images from the database and apply the first preprocessing function at the time of display. Note that the PACS database stores a plurality of images along with the preprocessing functions, since each image contains corresponding preprocessing functions (built in or inserted into the image header). Therefore, the PACS workstation inherently selects a preprocessing function when it retrieves an image from the PACS database.]
- c. processing the raw image data, which has not been fully preprocessed according to the predetermined subset of preprocessing functions applied at the acquisition workstation, at the PACS display workstation by applying the first preprocessing function to the raw image data to create a resultant image data [last sentence in section 8.7.1.4 on page 223. Huang teaches that the lookup tables (first preprocessing function) are applied at the time of display. Note that the

Art Unit: 2623

first preprocessing function is applied at the workstation because the workstation <u>displays the</u> <u>image</u>, see section 7.1.3 on pages 179-180].

Referring to claim 8, Huang further discloses the step of applying an image processing function to the resultant image data to create processed resultant image data (section 12.3.1 on page 320).

Referring to claim 9, Huang further discloses displaying the processed resultant image data (section 12.3 on pages 320-327).

Referring to claim 11 as best understood, see the rejection of at least claim 1 above. Huang further discloses that the PACS workstation comprises a processing circuit, a PACS network interface coupled to the processing circuit, and a software memory coupled to the processing circuit (section 7.1.3 on page 179).

Referring to claim 12, Huang further discloses that the raw image data corresponds to an anatomical (chest) region, and the preprocessing function is selected based on the anatomical region (second and third paragraph in section 8.7.1.4 on page 223).

Referring to claim 19, see the rejection of at least claim 8 above.

Referring to claim 20, see the rejection of at least claim 9 above.

Referring to claim 21 as best understood, see the rejection of at least claim 11 above. Huang further discloses an image acquisition workstation (section 7.1.1 on page 177), and a PACS network interfaced to the image acquisition workstation (figure 8.1 on page 201).

Art Unit: 2623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-4, 6, 13-15, 17, 22, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of the textbook entitled "PACS Basic Principles and Applications" by Huang ("Huang") and Takeo et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,231,246 ("Takeo").

Referring to claims 2 and 3, Huang fails to teach that the raw image data is frequency and contrast preprocessed raw image data.

However, frequency and contrast preprocessed raw image data was exceedingly well known in the art. For example, Takeo discloses a frequency and contrast preprocessed raw image data [col. 12, lines 18-34. Note that "preprocessing" an image is interpreted to mean processing an image that will be further processed. Therefore, processing the image under the "first displayed image processing conditions" is interpreted as frequency and contrast preprocessing because the image is processed to yield a desired level of gradation and a desired level of sharpness (lines 18-21), and then further processed under a "second displayed image processing means"].

Huang and Takeo are considered to be in the same field of endeavor, since they are both concerned with performing image processing functions on medical images. Huang suggests improving the display of the image (Huang, page 223, right column). Takeo's method provides

Art Unit: 2623

images which have good image quality and are easy to view, thereby improving the display of the image (Takeo, col. 2, lines 41-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the raw image data of Huang so that it is frequency and contrast preprocessed raw image data, as taught by Takeo. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to improve the display of the image, thereby enhancing the diagnosis process.

Referring to claim 4, see the discussion of claim 1 above. Huang discloses selecting a contrast preprocessing function (parameter).

Referring to claim 6, Huang fails to teach that the contrast preprocessing function is characterized by at least one of a GT, GA, GC, and GS preprocessing parameters.

Takeo teaches contrast preprocessing functions characterized by at least one of a GT, GA, GC, and GS preprocessing parameters (col. 12, lines 18-60 and TABLE 7).

Huang and Takeo are considered to be in the same field of endeavor, since they are both concerned with performing image processing functions on medical images. Huang suggests improving the display of the image (Huang, page 223, right column). Takeo's method provides images which have good image quality and are easy to view, thereby improving the display of the image (Takeo, col. 2, lines 41-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the contrast preprocessing function of Huang so that it is characterized by at least one of a GT, GA, GC, and GS preprocessing parameters, as taught by Takeo. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to improve the display of the image, thereby enhancing the diagnosis process.

Referring to claims 13 and 14, see the rejections of at least claims 2 and 3 above.

Referring to claims 15 and 22, see the rejection of at least claim 4 above.

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claims 17 and 23, see the rejection of at least claim 6 above.

9. Claims 5, 7, 16, 18, 24, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of the textbook entitled "PACS Basic Principles and Applications" by Huang ("Huang") and Takeo et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,231,246 ("Takeo"), further in view of Vuylsteke, U.S. Patent No. 5,644,662 ("Vuylsteke").

Referring to claim 5, Huang and Takeo fail to teach the step of selecting a frequency preprocessing function. However, this feature was exceedingly well known in the art. For example, Vuylsteke teaches the step of applying frequency preprocessing to contrast preprocessed images (col. 9, lines 35-39 and figure 3A). Note that "preprocessing" an image is interpreted to mean processing an image that will be further processed. In this case, Vuylsteke explains that the image is contrast preprocessed (CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT), and frequency preprocessing (HP EMPHASIS) is applied to the contrast preprocessed images.

Huang, Takeo, and Vuylsteke are all concerned with performing image processing on medical images. Vuylsteke provides a method of obtaining differently processed image versions originating from a single radiographic original image in a fast and computationally inexpensive way (Vuylsteke, col. 2, lines 21-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to include the teachings of Vuylsteke in the method of Huang and Takeo, in order to enhance the ergonomics of the system.

Referring to claim 7, Takeo further discloses that the frequency preprocessing functions are characterized by at least one of a RN, RE, and RT preprocessing parameters (col. 12, lines 18-60 and TABLE 7).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claims 16 and 24, see the rejection of at least claim 5 above.

Referring to claims 18 and 25, see the rejection of at least claim 7 above.

10. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of the textbook entitled "PACS Basic Principles and Applications" by Huang ("Huang") and Wofford, U.S. Patent No. 5,542,00 ("Wofford")

Referring to claim 10, Huang fails to explicitly disclose that the resultant image data created by the workstation is stored in the PACS database for future retrieval. However, the Examiner notes that storing image data created by workstations in databases was exceedingly well known in the art. For example, Wofford teaches a PACS system (col. 2, lines 12-23), wherein the image data created by the workstation (PDS) is stored by the database (col. 6, lines 16-20). Wofford explains that the image is processed at the workstation, and the resultant image is stored in the database for future retrieval (col. 5, lines 45-49 and col. 6, lines 16-20).

Huang and Wofford and both concerned with PACS systems. Huang teaches updating the database (Huang, Table 7.1). Huang further states that the database archives the images (studies) (Huang, Table 7.1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to store the resultant image data in the PACS database in order to keep the database updated, and allow other workstations access to the archived image data for diagnostic purposes.

Art Unit: 2623

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Kim whose telephone number is 703-306-4038. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Thurs 8:30am to 6pm and alternating Fri 9:30am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703-308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OL Ck

October 27, 2004

Jon Chang Primary Examiner

. Chang